| Cas                                      | 2:10-cv-00738-DSF-AGR   | Document 51  | Filed 12/02/10        | Page 1 of 4   | Page ID #:529   |
|--|---|--------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
|  |   |              |                       |               |                 |
| 1  |   |              |                       | E-FILED       | 12/2/2010       |
| $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$   |   |              |                       |               |                 |
| $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$   |   |              |                       | JS            | 5-6             |
| 4  |   |              |                       |               |                 |
| 5  |   |              |                       |               |                 |
| 6  |   |              |                       |               |                 |
| 7  |   |              |                       |               |                 |
| 8  |   |              |                       |               |                 |
| 9  |   |              |                       |               |                 |
| 10                                       | LINIT   | FFD STATFI   | D DISTRICT (          | COURT         |                 |
| 11                                       | UNITED STATED DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |              |                       |               |                 |
| 12                                       | TOK THE   | CENTRAL D    | ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |               |                 |
| 13                                       | CHEMEX, LLC, a Califo   | rnia limited | Case No.: CV1         | 0 0738 DSF    | (AGRx)          |
| 14                                       | liability company,  |              | Assigned to the       | e Honorable l | Dale S. Fischer |
| 15                                       | Plaintiff,  |              | [PROPOSED]            | LUDGMEN       | J <b>T</b>      |
| 16                                       | VS.   |              | PURSUANT 1            | TO STIPUL     | ATION           |
| 17                                       | PT KORMAC PRATAM  | A on         |                       |               |                 |
| 18                                       | Indonesia company; PT M   | •            |                       |               |                 |
| 19                                       | LINTAS BENUA, an Ind  |              |                       |               |                 |
| 20                                       | limited liability company;<br>STATE BANK OF TEXA                    |              |                       |               |                 |
| 21                                       | financial institution; BAN  | · ·          |                       |               |                 |
| 22<br>23                                 | INC., an Oklahoma corpo<br>DOES 1 through 10 inclu                  |              |                       |               |                 |
| $\begin{bmatrix} 23 \\ 24 \end{bmatrix}$ | Defendants.   | ,            |                       |               |                 |
| 25                                       | Defendants.   |              |                       |               |                 |
| 26                                       |   |              |                       |               |                 |
| 27                                       |   |              |                       |               |                 |
| 28                                       |   |              |                       |               |                 |
| -  |   |              |                       |               |                 |
|  | 1   |              |                       |               |                 |

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27 28

## PROPOSED JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO STIPULATION

Plaintiff Chemex, LLC, a California Limited Liability Company ("Chemex") having filed a Complaint in Interpleader against Defendants PT Kormac Pratama, an Indonesia limited liability company ("Kormac"), PT Media Lintas Benua, an Indonesia limited liability company ("Media"), First State Bank, a Texas financial institution ("First State Bank"), and BancInsure, Inc., an Oklahoma corporation ("BancInsure") (collectively, "the Parties"); the Parties having stipulated to entry of judgment pursuant to their settlement of the dispute raised by this lawsuit; and the Court having adopted the terms of the Stipulation of Entry of Judgment as its findings of fact and conclusions of law, in conformity with such findings of fact and conclusions of law, and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

- (i) The Parties have expressly submitted to this Court's jurisdiction, and thus, personal jurisdiction over each party exists in this case. Further, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1335.
- (ii) Chemex, having properly interpleaded \$838,870.20 with the Clerk of the Court, is hereby (a) discharged from this action; (b) discharged from all counterclaims that were or could have been pled in this action; (c) discharged and released from all liability in connection with any claims or disputes that have arisen or could have arisen in connection with the agreements referenced in or related to Chemex's Complaint in Interpleader filed in this action, which is attached as Exhibit 1 and hereby incorporated by reference as though set forth fully herein; and (d) discharged and released from all liability regarding the interpleaded funds, namely, the \$838,870.20 deposited by Chemex, LLC with the Clerk of the Court.
- (iii) Chemex is entitled to and shall recover from the interpleaded stake on file with the Clerk of Court reasonable attorneys' fees in the amount of \$53,000, as well as \$10,982.80, representing the portion of the pre-deposit interest on the

3 4

> 5 6

7 8

9

11 12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28 interpleaded stake to which Chemex is entitled by Chemex. Such amounts shall be payable on presentation of this Judgment Pursuant to Stipulation to the Fiscal Department of the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

- Each of the Parties is permanently enjoined from instituting any (iv) further litigation in any forum whatsoever regarding the interpleaded funds in this action, or with regards to the facts and agreements forming the basis of Chemex's Complaint in Interpleader ("Permanent Injunction").
- No undertaking shall be required to be posted as security for the Permanent Injunction, and is specifically waived by the Parties.
- Kormac and Media have been fully compensated in connection with the agreements related to Chemex's Complaint in Interpleader, as set forth in Kormac and Media's settlement agreement attached hereto as Exhibit 2, which is incorporated by reference as though set forth fully herein;
- (vii) BancInsure and First State Bank are the only Defendants in this action entitled to the funds interpleaded with the Clerk of the Court by Chemex, with the exceptions otherwise noted in this Judgment Pursuant to Stipulation. From the interpleaded stake on file with the Clerk of the Court, BancInsure shall recover the sum of \$773,387.40 and First State Bank is entitled to recover the amount of \$1,500.00. Such amounts shall be payable on presentation by BancInsure and First State Bank, respectively, of this Judgment Pursuant to Stipulation to the Fiscal Department of the United States District Court for the Central District of California.
- Unless expressly stated in this Judgment Pursuant to Stipulation, the (ix) Parties shall bear their own costs and attorneys' fees. However, if any legal action or other proceeding is brought for the enforcement of this Judgment Pursuant to Stipulation, the Permanent Injunction, the Settlement Agreement executed by the Parties, or because of an alleged dispute, breach, default or misrepresentation in

| 1  |  |
|----|--|
| 2  |  |
| 3  |  |
| 4  |  |
| 5  |  |
| 6  |  |
| 7  |  |
| 8  |  |
| 9  |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |

18

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

connection with any of their provisions, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover, in addition to any other relief to which it may be entitled, reasonable attorneys' fees, fees and other costs incurred in that action or proceeding, but only to the extent they were incurred in connection with claims prevailed upon in the litigation by such prevailing party.

- This Judgment Pursuant to Stipulation shall be given preclusive effect, (x)and is made on a "with prejudice" basis as to all claims, issues, and disputes that have arisen or could have arisen or have been alleged in this action.
- The Court shall retain jurisdiction even after entry of this Judgment Pursuant to Stipulation to enforce the Permanent Injunction and the Settlement Agreement executed by the Parties, and to adjudicate claims relating to its violation.
- (xii) Pursuant to the agreement of all of the Parties, in addition to all effects normally resulting from the entry this Judgment, this Judgment shall have the same force and effect as to the Parties as a Judgment entered by an Indonesian Court of competent jurisdiction;
- (xiii) The parties waive any rights to appeal this Judgment Pursuant to Stipulation, including but not limited to the Permanent Injunction.

The Court specifically certifies that there is no just reason for delay of this Judgment Pursuant to Stipulation.

SIGNED on this the <u>1st</u> day of <u>December</u>, 2010.

The Hon. Dale S. Fischer

United States District Court for the Central

District of California